



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,365	09/08/2003	Anthony J. Baerlocher	0112300-1424	9501
29159 7590 10/23/2008 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690				
EXAMINER HARPER, TRAMAR YONG				
ART UNIT 3714		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 10/23/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/657,365

**Applicant(s)**

BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J.

**Examiner**

TRAMAR HARPER

**Art Unit**

3714

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 and 31-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-30 and 41-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 and 31-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

Examiner acknowledges receipt of Request for Continued Examination filed 09/25/08. Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment/arguments filed 9/25/08. The arguments set forth are addressed herein below. Claims 1-24 & 31-40 are currently pending, Claims 25-30 & 41-43 have been withdrawn, and Claims 1, 15, & 31 have been currently amended.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claim1, 3-15, 17-24, & 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cregan et al (US 7,273,415) in view of Kaminkow (US 6,511,375).**

**Claims 1, 15, 31-32, 34, & 36-37:** Cregan discloses a gaming device that comprises of a plurality of different levels of selections wherein a player is given a predetermined amount of picks to pick selections of the different levels. The player uses these picks throughout each level, including a final level, until the picks are exhausted. The picks include a first result/pick or "pay symbol" which includes a pay outcome and a count against the number of picks, a second result or "advance symbol" which includes a move to a next selection level and a count against the pick counter, a third result/pick which includes a "repeat pick" wherein a player can pick from the same selection level

more than once which also includes a count against the pick counter, and a forth result/pick which includes a "skip pick" (Col. 15:62-64) which involves a move to a next level without a count against the pick counter. After each pick the award or outcome is revealed to the player. After a player has exhausted the available picks the player obtains or accumulates the total awards (Col. 14:58-Col. 16:59).

However, Cregan fails to disclose displaying for each level a clue associated with the level and a plurality of masked selections, wherein the clue indicates at least one preferred masked selection of the plurality of masked selections and wherein each masked selection is associated with one of a plurality of responses to the clue.

However, **Kaminkow discloses a game comprising a plurality of selections groups wherein each selection group is associated with a theme comprising of a plurality of groups, wherein the groups are defined by food categories such as appetizers, soups, chicken dishes, beef dishes, pork dishes, etc (which is interpreted as a plurality of different levels respective of each group). The groups are separated and the gaming machine uses an audio display to guide, direct, or follows the player through the game e.g. the audio guide is a clue that indicates to the player what masked award to select. In other words is advised to pick an appetizer the player must pick selections comprising appetizers, wherein the selections masked with appetizers are the responses to the clue. Fig. 7 clearly indicates masked selections associated with responses to various clues (Col. 11:5-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the selection game of Cregan to include the**

**theme/clues including response selections of Kaminkow to add to the entertainment value of the game itself. Providing various themes would increase the appeal of the game and promote further game play.**

**Claims 3 & 17:** Cregan further discloses a final level which includes at least one first/pay outcome associated with at least one pick within the final level (see above).

**Claims 4, 18, & 33:** Cregan discloses that a player picks from the same level until picking an advance indicator e.g. a player can repeat picks up to as many times as the allotted picks allow until picking an advance indicator (see above). This also encompasses the player picking from a selection level at least twice and repeating the process in the next next level.

**Claim 5:** Cregan discloses that the final selection level can include multiple selections, therefore, if a third result occurs wherein a player picks from the final selection group and the player has more picks available then the player can place an additional pick within the final selection group. This encompasses an additional pick made available only after the player has pick from each selection group considering that the player has to at least make one pick in order to reach the final selection group (Col. 15:58-60 and above).

**Claims 6-11, 19-21, 35, & 38:** Cregan discloses that the advance indicator furthermore can provide an additional award to the player (Col. 15:30-35). Cregan discloses that average pay outcomes for each subsequent level are increased (Col. 15:12-20). Furthermore, Cregan disclose that if a player does not pick an advance or skip outcome the player can additionally pick a third result that includes picking an additional award

from the same level (see above). Cregan also discloses that the advance/skip indicator includes an additional award/value.

**Claims 12-13, 22-23, & 39-40:** Cregan discloses the game is provided via a data network through the internet or a computer storage device (Col. 5:58-Col. 6:30).

**Claims 14 & 24:** Cregan discloses that the controller determines the random positions and values of the picks each time the player plays the bonus round e.g. predetermined before play (Col. 5:50:56).

**Claims 2 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cregan (US 7,273,415) in view of Kaminkow (US 6,511,375) in further view of Hughs-Baird (US 2003/0045349).**

**Claim 2 & 16:** Cregan in view of Kaminkow discloses the above with respect to claims 1 & 15, but fails to disclose a "stay" type pick that enables a player to pick another pick from the same level without a count against the pick counter. Cregan discloses that the above gaming system is geared towards enhancing the level of player excitement and enjoyment (Col. 2:23-25). Cregan discloses that player enjoyment is enhanced because of the multiple opportunities a player has at achieving game credits (Col. 3:14-17). However, Hughs-Baird discloses a similar award selection game wherein a player is given a predetermined number of picks to pick and accumulate various awards (Abstract). Hughs-Baird discloses a "pick again" pick, which enables a player to make an additional pick without a count against the pick counter (¶ 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the gaming system of Cregan with the "pick gain" option of Hughs-Baird to provide a further

incentive for the player to play the game. Such a modification would increase the opportunities a player has to achieve an award and therefore enhance the level of excitement and enjoyment.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 09/25/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the clue argument with regards to Kaminkow, applicant's specification fails to clearly define what a clue is considered to be. As a matter of interpretation, applicant's specification discloses that the game provides a clue to the player and prompts the player to pick one of the selections as seen by audio, visual, or audiovisual clue message 88 (¶ 64-65). As such, Kaminkow indicating to the player to "choose an appetizer" is equivalent to applicant's clue indicating to the player to choose a response to the clue. There is no explicit definition in regards to what a clue is within the specification. There are only examples and as such the Kaminkow reference discloses such a clue.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Berg et al (US 6,863,606) teaches a gaming device with clues and award selections.**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRAMAR HARPER whose telephone number is (571)272-6177. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ronald Laneau/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3714

TH

10/14/08